G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-3
191.
(Inward)

File No. 233

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning a cash Jaridah of Rs 101-1-0 per annum to the Sri Srinivasa devaru temple Venkatiana Chattram in the Chamarajnagar Taluk in lieu of the resumption of the Inam village endowed to it.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES
	Inwd F 135 of 1894-5 159 " 1902-03 473 " 1907-08

(General Serial No. 30.)

188 .

1892-3

From

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To

The Dy Comm^r
Mysore Dt

Dated 26 October 18^{92}.

Despatched 29

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

Petn dated 23^d October 1883, from Gadadhara Venkata Char of Jodi Chatra Basavapur village in the Chamrajnagar Taluk —

In forwarding the above, with its 3 original Enclosures, has the honor to request that the records connected with the alleged subsequent Enquiry into the question of the Agentship of the Jodi village may be sent

× to be returned with reply

order Re. 12 for 84.

1. Rec'd 27 clean proceedings which appeal has been given to the order of 10th ult.
2. Petrs allege they have paid Rs 1450 Rs 105-6 & 2-5 (on 27th & 31st July). This is supported by receipts in their possession
3. They have not been furnished with proper accts. as also directed — This omission shd. at once be explained — The official responsible for the omission shd. also be reported. A proper acct shd be furnished to Petrs within a fortnight from this day & a ~~copy~~ abstract copy of the same submitted to this office for info
4. The Village shd be in the possession of Petrs ~~with~~ Govt officers with drawn for its management The attachment (with a possession in Govt) will however continue.
5. A clear precis showing the part ~~payment~~ action in respect of the Village shd be submitted
6. Petrs are given time for payment of the balance till end of March 1884

18/12.

Drafted 9/4

6661

1883.

From The Dy Commissioner Mysore District

To Dewan Secretary to ~~Chief Commissioner~~ of Mysore, Department.

Dated Camp Gundul 17th December

Despatched 18/12

Received

Enclosures No. 2 files

General No. 570 / 1883-84.

Yrs docket Re. 506 dated 26th October last

— . —

As called for in the above I do the honor to forward herewith the records connected with the alleged enquiry into the Agentship of the Jodi village of Chatter Basawapur, Chamarajnagar Taluk & the petition of Gadadhara Venkatachar with enclosures as returned.

[signature]

Dy Commissioner Mysore

20/12/83 mlid

To Secy

Read

Letter No 570 dated 17 December 1883 from the Dy Comm of Mysore

"

A. 769 of 1-5-84.
P. 49

Order thereon - In satisfaction of the arrears of Jodi due for the years Vishu and Chitrabhanoo, the village of Venkatpina Shettys in the Chauraj Hobli Barwapur were attached and advertised for Sale on the 1st August 1883. Meanwhile some of the Ryottars (Reccinia Sanga and Ramanj Sanga) Represented to the D/Com that the delay in payment of the arrears was due to the mismanagement and misappropriation of the collections by the Head Ryottars and as they were willing to discharge the dues in 8 months time, the village in question might be Restored to them. The Dy Com did not consider it

× amounting to Rs 1555-4-2.

this Request - However on an appeal preferred to this office by the Petrs the Decree directed in Judgment that if the Jagirdars should give Satisfactory and sufficient security for the payment on 1st October 1883 of Rs 300 and of the balance before 31st March 1883 the village should be returned to their possession and management, the attachment thereon continuing till full payment of arrears -

It is not clear from the papers now submitted what effect has been given to the foregoing Order - The Petitioners allege that they have written 27th & 31st March paid Rs 1056-12-5 towards the liquidation of the arrears - This is disputed by Respondents who produce receipts in their possession -

There is also nothing to shew that the Petitioners have been furnished with

proper accounts as above directed — This should be done within a fortnight from the date of this order, an abstract Copy of the same being submitted to this office for information, together with an explanation of the cause of this omission and as to who is responsible for it.

The attachment without possession in Govt will however continue — but the Village should be in the possession of Petitioners, all Govt. Officers withdrawing from its management.

A clear precis shewing the past action in reference to the Village should be submitted.

The Petitioners are given time for payment of the balance till end of August 1884.

To Mr. Moore of Mysore with Ker. Browning

[signature]
Secy

1884

(4)

To,

The Deputy Commr.

Mysore District

Dated 1st May.

Sender's Name & Designation

No 769
" 49 Rev 94

Calling for explanation in connection with the transfer of the Village Venkatrinathattra Daswapura in the Channarajapatna Hobli, allowing the Petrs time for payment of the balance Rs 1/- thereof till the end of August 84

(General Serial No. 31.)

188

From

To

Dated 10.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1582
No. 86

Merry be expedited –

L. L.

St

Via Secola

(General Serial No. 30.)

1884/85.

From

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To The Dy Comm^r of Mysore

Dated 13th June 1884

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
~~Camp~~ No. 6

His office letter No 769/49 dated 9th May and reminder No 1562/86 dt 10th June/84 Relative to the complaint of Kamanujiengar and Sons, Receiver Sheristadar of Chattra Bussavapur in the Channaj Drayan Tank.

Request Early submission of the report called for

Sept 13

Rec^d

1884.



From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

To
The Dewan
Secretary to ~~Chief Commissioner~~ of Mysore,
Rev: Department.

Dated 2d
Despatched 3d July / 84
Received

Enclosures No. 141 Files

General No. 190 } 18 —8 .
No.

Secrys: No 769 of 1st May 84
49

Submitting report in the matter connected with the arrears due by the Jodidars of Venkatiah Chatter Channapiah-gar Salub.

5.7.84
Jj

noted
Bkew

No. 190

Mysore Deputy Comr's Office
Dated 2d July 1884

To

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Dewan's Proceedings No: 769/49 dated 1st May 1884, regarding the arrears due by the Jodidars of the Venkatian Chatter Channapuggar Talak and in reply to report that on receipt of orders in July 1883, detailed instructions were issued to the Attachi then in charge of the Talak, who conveyed the same to the Petitioners, and put them in possession of the Jodi villages, the available accounts being made over to them as may be seen from the receipt obtained from the Jodidars (numbered 72), filed with the Proceedings. He also obtained necessary bond (numbered 66) from the surety named by the petitioners.

2. The sum of Rs 1056-2-5 mentioned in the receipt, which is said to be

be in possession of the petitioners includes the amount received prior to the execution of the security bond. Rs. 1134-9-4 plus Rs. 973-5-1 being the arrears for 1883-84 are still due on the Jodi villages.

3 It may be seen from the Amildar's report No. 69 filed with the Proceedings (numbered 101 in red ink) that the Petitioners paid nothing subsequent to the execution of the security bond tho' they are said to have made recoveries to the extent of about 600 Rs, and that Gaidadhârum Venkatachâr and Gurugal Timmalachâr being the managers all along and influential in the village, the petitioner are not capable of recovering the amount and paying up the arrears due to Government.

4. No other accounts could be obtained and made over to the Petitioners, tho' the Shanbogue has been suspended.

5. Further extension granted in the Proceedings under reference has been intimated to the Petitioners.

6. Precis called for is herewith

Submitted

submitted together with the entire records of the case.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most Obt Servt.

H. B. Thornhead Jr.

Deputy Commissioner

Moore Droll

Inspr

Precis of the case regarding the re-
-venue arrears due by the Vuttidars,
Jodi Venkatayanchatter Chamraj-
-nagger Taluk.

---

1. On the 5th April 1882 there was a balance of Rs. 900-6-1 due on the village A demand notice was served on the 26th April and 3rd June 1882 on Gadatharum Venkatachar and Gurugal Sivunalachar, the managing Vuttidars, who paid Government dues under orders No. 56 of 1861 issued from the late Ashta-gram Commissioner Again notices were issued to all the Vuttidars as directed by the then Amildar Abdul Ajeez, but no money was paid by them.

2. The village was attached and a list together with the notice served on the managing Vuttidars was submitted by the Amildar on the 24th June 1882, but the Jodi villages thus attached were not brought under the Government management. The Deputy Commissioner called for reports on further action taken in the matter and the head of [illegible]

In the meantime ie on the 20th May 1882 the Shanboque had remitted a sum of Rs. 70-1-4. The arrears including the revenue for the following year 1882-83 amounted to Rs: 2190-11-9. A Takeed was issued by the Amildar to the Sherkdar of the Hobli forwarding 2 blank books sealed and signed to write Khirdi and directing him to re-cover revenue from the ryots and remit it to the Treasury. Accordingly the Jodi Shanboque recovered and remitted in 4 instalments Rs. 635-7-7, which was held in deposit in the Taluk Treasury. A notice of sale of the villages in satisfaction of the Government arrears up to the end of 1882-83, which amounted to Rs. 1555-4-2 was published in the Gazette dated 23d June 1883.

3. On the representation of Tolsi Srinivasiengar and Ramanujiengar in July 1883, the Dewan extended time till the end of March 1884 and ordered the release of the Jodi on their furnishing security. This order was communicated to the Attachi then in charge of the Taluk.

4. The Attachi, inquired into the matter and reported that the arrears of

of Gadadharum Venkatachar and
Gurugab Timmalachar alone were
particularly mentioned in the Sanad
that they were the managing Vrittida
under the orders issued by the late
Ashtagram Commissioner in 1861
and that they were ready to furnish
the required security. The Petitioners
T. Srinivasiengar and Ramanujiengar
who were employed as cooks at Mysore
and Biligiri Rangum hills, tho' pre-
-pared to furnish security, were not
Capable of managing the Vrittees. He
therefore solicited instructions on
the 15th September 1863 as to who should
get possession of the Jodi and from
whom security should be accepted
A reply was sent, on the 25th October
1863, giving preference to the Petitioners.
In the meantime Rs. 420-10-10 received
from the Abkary Contractor together
with the amount held in deposit
as stated in the para 2, in all —
amounting to Rs. 1056-2-5 were —
credited to Government in July 63.
A security bond was therefore ob-
-tained from Pleader Timmachar
named by the Petitioners on the
9th November 1863 for Rs. 1116-7-1.

which actualey remained due on that date Khindi and Khaté accounts were made over to them, the Shanboque being directed to furnish all the information required by the petitioners

5. The Shanboque failed to furnish the patitioners with the necessary information and accounts, tho' he was warned to do so by the Amildar. He stated that some accounts were taken by Gadadharuna Venkatachar for the purpose of filing suits against Ryots, and others were lost when his house was destroyed by fire. He was therefore suspended No regular accounts have been kept for the villages. The revenue remains unrecovered owing to the party feelings and misunderstanding between the Vrittidars.

6. Subsequently G. Venkatachar paid Rs. 386-7-2 on account of the revenue for 1883-84, but nothing was recovered from the petitioners. The arrears up to date on the village amounted to Rs 2108-9-2 as particularized below—

Arrears up to 1882 as per security bond Rs } 1,134-9-4
Do - for 1883-84 - Rs - 973-15-10
2108-9-2

Bombay 4th 13.
Inspr.

Commissr

(General Serial No 31.)

1884.

From

To Govt Mysore

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Two.

General No. 4186

Stating that if the Jordan & Venkatrama Chetty Chamarajpet Salt (Canara Ports) fail to buy any instalment, the salt should be advertised for sale.

No 4156/233 g 16-10-84

Read:-

Letter No 190 dated 2nd July 1884 from Dy Comr of Mysore Dt submitting a further report on the account of arrears of Jodi due by the Jodidars of Venkatacara Chattram, Channa, Nagar Taluk

Read also Petns from the Jodidars Venkatappa Char, Srinivasacappa & Raman orders thereon.

It is clear from the proceedings read above that from want of good understanding amongst the Vrithidars of the Jodi village, the Govt demand has fallen into arrears and the Vrithidars themselves have suffered no inconsiderable loss in their income. The Chief Vrithidars appear the Venkata Char & Thirumala Char who are influential in the village and have had its management in their hands: they are evidently faithful in their dealings with the Vrithidars among whom are the Petrs Srinivasa, Ayyangar and Ramasamia, Ayyangar. The Amildar in his, as may be expected under the circumstances, on the side of the latter & either of his assistants [illegible]

shewing the total demand against the ryots, the collection & the balance outstanding: this explanation that the accounts have been discharged by fire which burnt his house down is apparently not believed in by the Dy Commr: ~~& rightly~~ for when the village was under his management, was a failure: no attempt was made to obtain a correct rent roll, nor were vigorous exertions made to collect the balances strictly. The Dewan Comm[r] regard these irregular & unsatisfactory proceedings with great displeasure.

In May or June 1882 the Village was attached for arrears of Govt Rev & on 11 1882 the Commissioner directed the [illegible] to cause the arrears due by Ryots Collected by the Village Shanbogue, keeping accounts of such Collections.

"The Shanbogue accordingly Collected & remitted Rs. 635. 7. 7 - Besides this sum, Rs 4 20. 10. 10 have been received from the Abkary Contractor - Thus the total Rev. received by Govt. from Dec[r] 1882 & Nov[r] 1883 (When the Village was returned to the management of the Jodidars) amounted to Rs. 1056. 2. 5

The arrears still due & [illegible] amount to Rs 2108 - 9 - 2: as shewn in the margin.*

~~This sum to be collected: the Jodidars~~ deserve no indulgence and the ~~[illegible]~~ of the Village which is ~~[illegible]~~ under attachment may suffer ~~[illegible]~~: The Dewan however is unwilling to direct the ~~[illegible]~~ immediately to sell the village ~~[illegible]~~ Jodidars: ~~[illegible]~~ to pay up before the end of August next: it is not expected that they will do so the arrears being heavy: the

Their Collection of this amount should not be further postponed, the Jodidars as a body deserve no indulgence because the Concessions hitherto granted have been abused upon them

* Arrears up to 1882 as per
Security Bond — Rs 1134 9
Arrears for 1882 83 — Rs 973 15
Total Rs 2108 9

21

2.

Both the parties who contend for the management of the Village – Viz. Gadadhara Venkatachary & Anantachary & Ramanujengar & Srinivasengar – have appeared before the Dewan today & at their request the Dewan has consented to give them time for the payment of the arrears as follows:–

Rs. 1054-9-2 on 31st Dec 1884

Rs. 1054 – on 31st March 1885.

These payments will be in addn to the Rent for the current year, which they should pay also for the prescribed instalments.

If the Jodidars fail to pay any of the instalments above ordered, the Dept. Comr will forthwith advertise the Village for sale without waiting for further reference to

11/10/84. by
To the Dy Comr Nellore

Dy Comr will permit the payments in three equal instalments falling due on 1st September 1884, 31st Dec 1884 & 1st Feby 1885, besides the demand for the current year which must be paid up as per Kists. The Jodidars will distinctly understand that this further indulgence is granted them with the hope that they will in future remit the Village amicably giving no trouble & suit in the collection of its dues. They will further be warned that default in the payment of any one of the three instalments will necessitate the sale of the Village.

25/7.

(General Serial No. 29.)

1885

From

The Dy: Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 25 February

Despatched 2/3

Received

Enclosures No. /

General No. 872 / 183 —8
No.

Submitting for publication in the Gazette, draft Notifn for the sale of the Jodi villages of Venkatarayan Chattra and Basvapura, Chamarajnagar Taluk.

Repd 3/3 Rly

872

Mysore Commissioner's Office
Camp Bulwully 25th Febry/85

To, The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the last para of the Dewan's Proceedings No. 4156/233 dy 16th October 1884, I have the honor to submit herewith for approval and publication in the Gazette, a draft Notification for the sale of the Jodi Venkatapur Chutter and Basavapur villages in the Channajungur Taluk as the Vuttedars failed to pay the arrears of the past year with the revenue instalment of the current year as directed in the above.

2. The Jodi villages are divided into 26 shares or vuttees enjoyed by different individuals but as the quit rent being fixed for each vuttee have not been fixed separately, the villages cannot conveniently be sold Vuttivar as per Dewan Circular No. 2900/69 dated 5th August 1885

3 The demand against the Enamees mentioned in para one, may be realized to Rs. 2507-8-5 by the end of March 1885

of no payment is made till then. The villages have not as yet been taken under the Government management either in conformity with the Diwani Notifica-tion No 271 dated 15th November 1883 or in course of attachment preliminary for sale.

4 I would suggest the advisability of continuing the villages under the nominal attachment as at present and selling them on any date which may be fixed in April next in satisfac-tion of the full arrears which may fall due at the end of March 1885. I per-sonally heard the managing Committee-dars of the Body on the 30th January/85 and do not consider that they would pay the arrears complete before the end of the current Official year.

Vide proceedings No 769 of 49 1st May/85

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servt

Bukhar 14/5.

H. L. Commissioner
Nipani Dist

(General Serial No. 29.)

1885

From

The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated May 1885.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 100 } 188 —8
No.

This office No 872 dated 23d February 1885 submitting draft notification regarding the sale of Jodi Venkatiah Chetty and Bapavanpur Villages of the Chamrajnagar Taluq.

Solicits the favor of an early reply to the above as no payment whatever has yet been made by the Jo=didars.

Dy Commissioner

Reference
CR 13 5-85

Submd
CR

M. R. Rows.
Urgent.
22/5 Rly.

Respy.

Invites attention to the Proceedings of the Bureau No 4156/233 of 16th Oct 84 and states that the villages shd be sold.

The dakhasts are sent with his No 872 of 23d Feby 1885 ~~which should be~~ in the Gazette is rcd for amendt if necessary & the amount of Jaman due.

22/5

To The Deputy Commissioner Tuticorin

Dated 22nd August 1885

Despatched —

Received

Enclosures No. 1.

General No 2995

No 117

This docket No. 1008

9th May/85.

Invites attention to the Proceedings of the Diwan No: 4156/283 of 16th October/84, and states that the villages should be sold. The rules & Notification, received with his No: 872 of 28th February, & returns herewith for insertion, if necessary, the words to the amount of arrears due.

(General Serial No. 31.) 4772

1885

From The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Dated 25th September/85

Despatched 28th

Received

General No. 464
No.

Enclosures—Number. 1

His docket No. 2998/117
dated 22nd August 1885.

Sir,

I have the honr to submit for publication in the Mysore Gazette an amended notification regarding the sale of Jodi Venkatasubbaiah Chetty and Basavapura villages in the Channarayapatna Taluk in satisfaction of revenue arrears due on the village

2- Begs to bring to

Rejected
19/85

In reply state that in a case like this of a village it is not sufficient simply to serve notices of demand on the so-called agents of the Jodi village only. It is necessary that the names of all defaulters should be ascertained if possible and notices served upon them. If this information is not procurable notices should be served on all villages without exception. So long as such demand notices have not been formally served on the proper defaulters difficulties may arise in the way of confirming the sale which may prove

attempted fictitious
Before publishing the
notice, I shall be glad
to know that this is true
regarding the Dy Commr
& Tehsildar all original servants

of the Government that the sale of the villages in question Vrittivar, as laid down in the Dewan's Proceedings No: 2900/69 dated 5th August 1884, is not possible in this case, as each Vritti has not been separately shewn in the account with Quit rents &c charged thereon. Instructions are therefore solicited as to whether the villages should be sold in a groups or kept under attachment under the notification No: 271 dated 15th November 1883, published in the Mysore Gazette dated 24th Idem.

K.B.

By Commissioner

Mysore Draft

(General Serial No. 31.

138

From

To The Secy: Commissioner Mysore Dt

Dated 1 January

Despatched Nov. 185

Received

Enclosures No

General No. 2278

No.

Ans No 464 dy 25th Septr 88, submitting for publication in the Mysore Gazette an amended Notification regarding the sale of Sodi Venkatā Chatti & Basawapur villages in the Chamarajnagar Taluk in satisfaction of revenue arrears due on the villages requesting certain instructions.

In reply, states that in a case like this of a sale of a village it is not enough simply to serve notices of demand on the so called Agents of the joint villagers only. It is necessary

defaulters should be ascertained if possible and notices served upon them one and all. If this information is not procurable, notices should be served on all Petitioners without exception. So long as such demand notices have not been formally issued and served on the parties, difficulties may arise, in the way of confirming the sale which may prove ultimately invalid. Before publishing this Notification of sale in the Gazette, requests the Deputy Commissioner to report that this is done, and to send a fy. all original powers & Co of such service.

By order

[illegible]

[illegible] Underhill

[illegible]

[illegible]

12/11

(General Serial No. 29.)

188 5 7119

From The Dy Commissioner Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 8 January 186

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Lr C. Recd

General No. 688 188 —3
No.

28-1-86

Repr return
Jus
87

Subd: forwarder.

In the matter of the sale of Jodi Venkatapur village - Taluk of Chamarajnagar -

The said Subdy: has been Subd: for publication: A Enquiry of the records notices had not been served on all defaulters: This was pointed out - The Dy Comm has now done so - & reminds: that a correct draft Subdy Conf: correct figures be obtd from the Dy Comm - & that the sale be advertised

Jus?

In what respect is the Dy Comm's letter incorrect?

Ry 22/1

If it is only that arrears subsequent to the date of Subdy are not included, that is not a material error &

His Docket No: 4378/173 dated 12th November/85 regarding the sale of Jodi Venkatesvara Chatter and Basavapur village Chamarajnagar Taluk in satisfaction of revenue arrears due in the village.

— o —

In reply has the honour to report that demand notices have been served on

the Visitation and the re
records of such services a
-with enbundled with terms
called for in the above.

Clarence Lounsbury

for Dy Commrs

MN

(General Serial No. 31.)

188

From

To The Inspector
Fuyane Gazette

Dated 22/1/86

Despatched

Received 27 JAN 1886

Enclosures No.

General No. 5969
No. 475

Please see for
application in
these successive
issues of the Fuyane
Gazette, the accompanying
Notification for
the Sale of Forest
Riparia
Saw Theatre and
Razaveipia villages
in the Thamaraganga
Valley.

Request that 5
Copies in English &
10 Copies in Tamil
may be sent direct
to the Dy: Col: of Fuyane
A. H. Gate

No 5969/2
225.

Copy forwarded to the
Dy Comr Mysore District
Reference to his dockat No 464
25 Sept/85 and with the infor
mation that the date of a
has been fixed for the 5th March
BV.

Vernacular papers xxx

Mr Ley

(General Serial No. 29.)



From

To

The Secretary to the Dewan

of Mysore.

Dated 26th

Despatched 29th March 1886

Received 2/10

Enclosures No. 1 file sent in a shyrpli cover.

General No. 815 } 1885—86.
No.

Submitted for the information of Government the sale resulted by Jodi Venkataria Ch and Bavapur villages in the Chamarajnagar Taluk

Submitted.

I think it is too late now to cancel the sale & resume the villages under Govt management.

The Petitioners have had ample time: they have behaved very recklessly

Ry. 2/4/86

Keep the file in office & send it if required

2/4/86 Ry

Order

The Sale is for an amount which is considerably below the amount of arrears of Revenue due to Govt, and it cannot therefore be confirmed. The Dept. Comm. will return the money paid by C. Rangamma who is reported to be the highest bidder.

The Jodi Venkataria of the villages in question will be declared to be forfeited to the Govt on the ground of default in payment of the Rev. due upon them. The D.C. will take possession of the villages & manage them as Govt villages and grant Pattas to the cultivating ryots.

H Rad 2/4

Memo with be intimated to the Dewan

No. 815. En: 1 file
sent in separate Cover

Mysore Dy: Commrs Office
Camp Bellavapur 26th
March 1886

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore,

Sir,

I have the honor with re-
-ference to the correspondence ending
with your docket No. 5969/225 dated
22d January 1886, to submit for the
information of Government, the
sale results of the Jodi Venkatiyanda
Chetty and Bavapur villages in
the Channajnuggur Taluk.

2. The old Beriery of the two villages
forming the Jodi, amounts to Rs
2036-5-10. The amount of Jodi
annually payable to Government,
amounts to Rs 1360-7- including
the local cess.

3. The Jodi was held by 25 Vaishnava
Gowligal Sinnamadevar and 24 others. They
fell into arrears :-

Annadhoriyar
Annangachar
Gadadharam Venkatachar
Tiruvenkatachar
Poolale Bhatachar
Anantachar
Tiruvenkatachar
Ramanujam Iyengar
Vedanthachar
Krishnaiyengar
Venkatareen-2
Srinivasan
Srinivasachar
Ramakrishnaiyengar
Tadiyanikkere Tiruvenkatachar
Ramakreenaiyengar
Chendagyam Vendachar
Rajamunireen Vaishnavar
Krishnaiyengar
Voothamballi Annamaniengar
Srinivasaiyengar son of Rangaiyengar
Doddi Seenaiyengar
Athmanik Krishnaiyengar
Venk. Iyengar
Venkatachar son of Roopaiyengar
Agent of Srinivasaiyengar

for the amount since the year 1882-83, which amounts to Rs as shewn below -

	Rs a P
1882-83 -	173-1-7
1883-84 -	973-15-10
1884-85 -	1860-7 "
1885-86	1360-7-"
total	3867-15-5

Notices of demand were served on all the Outtardars for arrears up to 1884-85 inclusive. The sale of the Jote was sanctioned by Govt (vide Notification No 189 dated 22nd January 1886) published in the Gazette. The sale by public auction is to be conducted by the Sundoar in person in the Taluk Cutcherry on the date fixed therefor viz 8th March 1886. One 1st Class Pleader C. Ramgaund bid Rs 2000, the whole of which sum has been deposited in the Govt Treasury pending confirmation

4. The Outtardars are scattered

over the country; a few obstinate in the village possess little or no moveable property to satisfy the difference between the amount of sale and the balance in 1867-15-5, the whole of which will have to be struck off the accounts. As the highest bid does not even cover the arrears due nor does it come up to the old Bairee, I would respectfully beg to suggest that the sale be not confirmed and the property resumed and brought under Government management like the Jodi of Rampur

5. The vernacular papers including the sale list are accompanying with Sheristh.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt servt.

Deputy Commissioner
Hoshiarpur

(General Serial No. 31.)

1886.

From

To The Deputy Commissioner

Dated 7 *Despatched* 3 April

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 211
No. 1012

In forwarding the accompanying telegram from Tolasetrinivasangar of Jodi Venkatrayanachatta Sunnadakere, requests the Deputy Commissioner to reply to the applicant in the terms of the orders of this date.

Secretary
B Cover

R.C.
Chapter

Read:

Letter No 815 of the 26 March 1886 from the Deputy Comm^r Mysore Dt^t reporting the sale results of Jodi Venkatyanachattar and Bavapur villages in the Chamrajnagar Taluk.

Order. No 251E-1/4 of 8/4/86
Encls 1 papers to Dy Comm^r

The sale is for an amount which is consid=ably below the amount of arears of Revenue due to Government, and it cannot therefore be Confirmed. The Deputy Com will return the money paid by C. Ranganna who is reported to be the highest bidder. The Jodi tenure of the villages in question is hereby declared to be forfeited to the Government

on the ground of default of payment of the revenue due upon them. The Deputy Comm^r will take possession of these Villages and manage them as Govt. Villages and grant Pattahs to the cultivating ryots. A Revenue Survey will be introduced into these villages in due course; in the meantime existing rates of assessment should be maintained.

The Deputy Comm^r will notify the resumption to all parties interested and affix a copy of this Notification of resumption in some conspicuous places in the villages in question.

Chief Sec^y

The D^y Com^r
Secretary to
V. Vizagapatam



Subsy
24/2

V
T. 35-

Send the Despatch & Enclosure
received thereto Patti about
the Rate now in force
& revised Patti & explain
how absolutely necessary
for the Efficient performance
of worship & the upkeep of
temple & its property:
descriptive roll of its
property & accompany the
report. R.G.
27/3.

Drafted
Subsy
27/3

(General Serial No. 31.)

OFFICE OF THE
8464
24 FEB 88
DEWAN OF MYSORE

1888

From The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore Draft

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 24th February 188

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 File V.P.

General No 746 } 188
No.

Submitted for orders
Certain correspondence
relating to the addi-
-tional money claimed
for maintenance of
Sri Prasanna Venkat-
-ramanaswami temple

Send Copy & Kannada Circular
Sanction
Copy
27/3/88

No 746
Ex 17 file V.P

Mysore Dy Comrs Office
Dated 21st February 188

From,

R Ananda Row Esqr. B.A
Deputy Commission
Mysore District

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith a file of Canarese Correspondence received with Inam Officer's docket No 53 dated 21st October last, relating to the additional money allowance claimed for the maintenance of Sri Prasanna Venkatramana-swamy temple situated at Venkatiana Chatter village of the Chamrajnagar Taluk.

The villages of Venkatiana Chatter and Basavapura pa

formerly held on Jodi tenure by Gadathara & entrétachar and other Brittidars and resumed by Government in 1885-86 owing to the default of the payment of Jodi arrears.

3. The temple referred to has been in the enjoyment of a monthly cash allowance of Rs: 1-3-5 as Istashik, but before the resumption of the villages by Government, in addition to this allowance, it was also receiving annually, according to the terms of His Highness the late Maharaja's sannad dated 1874 whereby the villages were assigned as Jodi, a moiety of the net income derived therefrom, after deducting the amount of Jodi payable to Government. This moiety was chiefly devoted to the payment of servants who receive no other emoluments

(12 pgs)

and also to the up-keep of services in the temple, both on ordinary and extra occasions. It appears from a copy of the Thasthikpatti dated 1874 filed in the Correspondence, which was drawn up by the ex-Jodidars under the direction of the then Amildar, that the annual temple expenditure was fixed at Rs: 114-13-6 and this sum is reported to have been regularly paid by the Jodidars until the villages were resumed by Government.

4. Since the resumption of the villages the aforesaid monthly allowance has been discontinued, and Consequently the temple servants have been making repeated Complaints.

5. The annual amount of Jodi including quit-rent and local cess, which was formerly paid to Government by the Jodidars, was Rs. 1360-7-4. After the resumption of the villages

there totab renenue amounted to Rs 1615-5-4, thus resulting in an increase of Rs 254-14-4. The Inspr Officer now proposes that a sum equivalent to what was heretofore paid to the temple from the re-venues of the villages may be continued to it, as it has no other endowments for its support except a paltry allowance of 1 and odd Rupees. Under the circumstances stated I quite concur with his opinion and accordingly beg to recommend that, in deference to the intentions of His Highness the late Maharaja as expressed in the sanad adverted to (an authenticated copy of which is put up with the correspondence) and with a view to ensure the proper performance of the services &c of the temple, which has an ancient reputation, an additional Cash allowance

of

of Rs. (115) one hundred and fifteen

bers — sanctioned to

it.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most obdt Servt.

Henry Sudlays

For Deputy Commissr.

MM Mysore District

(General Serial No. 31.)

1887-8.

From

To The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Distt.

Dated Mysore, 27th March 188

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

Camp No. 2954

His letter No 746 dated 1st February 1888, in re additional money claimed for maintenance of Sri Prasanna Venkatramanaswami temple at Venkatapur Chutter, Chamrajnagar Taluk

The Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit a revised Thastik-Patti along with the one now in force: the revised Patti should contain items absolutely necessary for the present restoration

of worship and the safe keep of the temple and its property: A des roll of the temple property should also be submitted.

2. The file of Vernacular paj received with the above, is sent for reference and return with reply.

By Order.

Draft.

A.V.
Chief Secretary

MBM

(General Serial No. 29.)

2391

1888

154

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Bangalore

Dated 28th
Despatched } June
Received

Enclosures No. 2 & 1 File

General No. 176
No.

With docket No 3954, dated 27th March last, calling for a revised Instee roll and a descriptive roll of the Temple property of Presannah Venkataramana Swamy temple, at Venkatapuram agere Chuttra, Shanuraj-nuggur Taloork.

Has the honor to submit the documents as therein called for, together with Moozrah Officer's No 10, dated 11th ultimo.

The file of Vernacular papers accompanies

P Narasa Rao

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Compd
Accountant

1888

From
The Deputy Commr
Mysore Dt

To, The Secretary to Govt
Mysore Dt

Dated 11th May 188
Despatched 188
Received

General no 10

Enclosure no 2

Hs memo no 44,
dated 12th Ultimo,
forwarding Copy of
Chief Secretary's Lett.
no 2954, dated 27th
March 188, Calling
for a revised Jaedi,
Patti of Sree Prasanna
Venkataramanaswamy
temple, Venkataiya's
Chatter, Chamaraja
nagar Taluk

In reply has the honor to Subm
Statement Showing the present and pr
Tasdik together with a file of Vernacular
papers appertaining to the Same and to
State that the proposed one has been
Carefully prepared with a Saving of Rs 27
than the one now in force.

2. The Amildar proposes one Archik
and one Kavalgar in his Statement
but in the openion of the undersigned t
is not Sufficient as if any one of them
Should become absent on plea of Sickn
&c, there will be none to look after his w
in the temple, So it has been Supplemen
by an additional hand to each of these
posts on a Small Salary of Rupee one
per mensem.

3. The Establishment has been workin
without remuneration from this Departm
ever Since the resumption of the land
by Government. The undersigned requ
that the Dy Commissioner will be good
enough to Submit the Tasdik Patti pro
reference with his Kind Support to the
Government for an early Sanction.

Hosmane Hay

1st Dvr. 12

Read

Letters from the Dy Commissioner, Mysore Distt, Nos 746 and 176 dated 21st February ~~1888~~ and 28th June 1888 respectively, regarding a claim for additional allowance for maintenance of worship in the Prasanna Venkatramanaswamy Temple at Venkatianallatraun village in the Chamrajnagar Taluk -

~~~~~

No 3476-7/157 of 8-8-88 Order thereon :-

The village in question which was held on Jodi tenure was resumed by Govt in the year 1885-86 for arrears of Jodi due upon it - The temple of Venkatramana-swami which is situated in this village, enjoys a Cash Inadik of Rs 1-3-5 per mensem. This allowance
~~~~~

was supplemented by donations from the Jodidars who held the village on Jodi tenure, and an annual expenditure of Rs. 113. 13. 6 was incurred on account of the temple, before the resumption of the village. The Muzrai Officer has now revised the Jasdek Patte and is of opinion that an expenditure of Rs 86-8-0 per annum will suffice.

From A. D. the late Maharaja's Sannad of 27th December 1814, it is clear that when the village in question was granted in Inam a sum of 41 Pagodas and 8 11/16 Fanams was deducted out of the assets of the village, on account of Venkatramana-Swami Temple. The village now been resumed

and fully assessed by Govt, it is but fair that the temple should be compensated to the Extent of the original grant. The Dewan accordingly directs that a ready money grant of 41 Kanteerai Pagodas and 8 11/16 Fanams be registered in the Inam Department in the name of the Temple ~~as the Dasara Department~~ in question.

The Jasdik Patty, as now revised, is sanctioned for the present. The difference between the amount of the revised Jasdik Patty and the amount of ~~allowance~~ sanctioned allowance as above, will be carried to the credit of the Temple.

The Sannad above referred to also shows that Bhatamanyam Inam amounting P. F. 17-7 1/8 and

Service Inams amounting to P. 2-7-3 have been in existence previous to the date of the grant of the village on Jodi tenure. As these Inams have been deducted from the assets of the Village, the resumption of the Jodi tenure in 1885-86 should not in any way affect these Excluded Inams, ~~if~~ provided they have been recognized by the Inam Department.

Chf Secy

To. The Dy Commr Inamdar

" The Inam Supt
with a copy of the Sanad
dy 27th Dec 1814

(General Serial No. 50)

1888.

From

To Surgeon Consulting Surgeon Depts.

Dated August

Despatched 14

Received

Enclosures No. 1 to 5

General No. 3476-7, 157

No 1400 Sept 1

Sept 14 1888

Surgeon Consulting Surgeon Depts.

Aug 14 1888

Surgeon Consulting Surgeon Depts.

Fair Draft

Read :—

Letter from the Dy. Comr Mysore District, Nos. 746 and 176 dated 21st. February and 28th. June 1888 respectively, regarding a representation for additional allowance for maintenance of worship in the Prasanna Venkat-ramanaswami Temple at Venkatiana Chattram village in the Chamraj-nagar Taluk.

Order thereon :—

The ~~Jodi~~ village in question which was held on Jodi tenure was resumed by Government in the year 1885-86 for arrears of Jodi due upon it. It is now a Govt. village, and is reported to have yielded a Land Revenue of Rs 1615-5-4

in 1885-86, as compared with Rs 1360-7-0, the Quit-rent as paid by the Jodidars previous to the resumption -

2. His Highness the late Maharaja's Sannad of 27th. December 1814 fixed 502 Pagodas and $8\frac{13}{16}$ Fanams as the Beriz of the Village, and granted it on a Jodi or Quit-Rent of 400 Pagodas, after ~~deducting~~ distributing the remainder of the Beriz as follows:-

	P.	F.	Total P.	F.
1. Existing Inams at time of Grant.				
(a) Bhatamanyas —	17 —	$7\frac{1}{8}$		
(b) Uliga Manyas —	2 —	3.		
			20 —	$0\frac{1}{8}$
2. Grant to Poosanna Venkatramanaswami Temple —			41 —	$8\frac{11}{16}$.
3. To be Enjoyed by Brahmins (Grantees) —			41 —	0.
Total -			102 —	$8\frac{13}{16}$

3. Under such circumstances, & money of 41 Pagodas &

$8\frac{11}{16}$ Fanams is claimed on account of the Temple, but under instructions from this Office, the Muzarai Officer has revised the Jasdik Patti and is of opinion that an Expenditure of Rs 86-8-0 per annum will suffice- This revised Jasdik Patti is sanctioned, and the money grant now enjoyed by the Temple, Rs 1-3-5 per month, will be increased to Rs 86-8-0 per annum, as, in the opinion of the Govt, the Institution is justly entitled to this increased grant owing to the resumption of the village in question- The Inam Superintendent will be requested to register this grant in the usual course —

4. The Manchupatti will also be requested to keep

how the included minor
Inams which existed at
the time of the grant
of the Village, viz:- Bhatamanyas, P. 17 - F. 7 8,
and Uliga mányas, P. 2 - 73,
have been disposed of -

RK
Chief Secy

To The Dy Commr., Mysore Dt
with Canarese papers.

To The Inam Superintendent,
with a copy of the
Sannad of 27th Decr 1814.

In the matter

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE
1494
3 - JUN. 89
144
DEWAN OF MYSORE.

1889.90

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

Dated 30th May 1889

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 140.
No.

Soliciting fresh Sanction for
Rs 1-3-5 per mensem
for the daily Nevadam
in the Prasanna Venkat-
ramanaswamy temple
at Venkatapura Chattram
in the Channarayapatna
Taluk.

Under the circumstances stated
sanction the additional grant
of Rs 1-3-5 per month. &
send duplicate receipt
for entry & disposal.

8/6 BPC

Drafted
July
7/6

No 140
Reference
316

Seb
Submitted
5-6-89

Mysore Dy Comrs Office
Dated 30th May 1889.

From,

T. Ananda Row Esquire B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to Dewan's Proceedings no. 3476-7/157, dated 8th August last, sanctioning an additional yearly allowance of Rs 86-8-0, for maintenance of worship &c in the Prasanna Venkatramanaswamy temple at Venkatana Chatteram, in the Chamarajnagar Taluk, I have the honor to state, that this amount does not provide for the Daily Nevadanam, or offering to be made to the Deity. The temple was formerly in receipt of a sanctioned sum of Rs 1-3-5 per mensum, on this account, an

in the revised Jasdik Patti, submitted with this office No: 176, dated 28th June 1888, only such items as were considered necessary to be provided beyond the already sanctioned amount of Rs 1-3-5, for the Daily Nevadanam of the deity, were inserted, but in the Proceedings of the Dewan, adverted to, sanction has been accorded to Rs 86-8-4, including the above monthly allowance, of Rs 1-3-5. The Moosroi office states, that a separate provision for the Daily Nevadam is indespensibly necessary. I have therefore to request a fresh sanction for Rs 1-3-5 per mensem, or Rs 14-9-4 per annum, which will bring the total annual expenditure of the temple to Rs 101-1-4

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

P Ananda Rau

Dy Commissioner

Compd
T.R.Wortham

Read:-

Letter No. 140 dated 30th May 1889, from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, representing that the amount of Rs. 86-8-" which was sanctioned in Dewan's proceedings No. 3476-7 dated 8th August 88, for 157 the maintenance of worship &c in the Prasanna Venkatramanaswami temple at Venkatayana Chuttrum in the Chamrajnagar Taluk does not provide for the daily "Nivédanum" or offering of the deity, and soliciting a fresh sanction for Rs: 1-3-5 per mensem or Rs: 14-9-" per year for the daily Nivédanum thus increasing the annual Expenditure of the temple to Rs. 101-1-4-

---

Order:- Camp No. 194-2-A
Mysore, 8th June 1889

1889.

Under the circumstances stated, the additional grant of Rs 1-3-5 per month is sanctioned

Draft: R.K.
Chief Secy.

To.

The Dy Comm.r Mysore.
The Comptroller.
The Inam Supdt. for information

Drafted on Ch Secy's order.
M.B.K.

From

To The Dy Comm.r Mysore.
Comptroller
Inam Supt

Dated 8th June/89

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 199-201.
No.

Inam Commissioner's Office